IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

THE DEACONESS ASSOCIATIONS, INC., an Ohio Corporation, CENTRAL PYRAMID ACCOUNTING, INC., an Arizona corporation,

ORDER

Case No. 3:21-cv-1854-YY

Plaintiffs,

v.

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., a National Bank chartered in Delaware.

Defendant.

Michael H. Simon, District Judge.

United States Magistrate Judge Youlee Yim You issued Findings and Recommendation in this case on September 19, 2022. Judge You recommended that this Court grant Defendant's motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint and dismiss this case with prejudice. No party has filed objections.

Under the Federal Magistrates Act (Act), the court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). If a party objects to a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations, "the court

Case 3:21-cv-01854-YY Document 26 Filed 10/13/22 Page 2 of 2

shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings

or recommendations to which objection is made." *Id.*; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

If no party objects, the Act does not prescribe any standard of review. See Thomas v.

Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 152 (1985) ("There is no indication that Congress, in enacting [the Act],

intended to require a district judge to review a magistrate's report to which no objections are

filed."); United States. v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (holding

that the court must review de novo magistrate judge's findings and recommendations if objection

is made, "but not otherwise").

Although review is not required in the absence of objections, the Act "does not preclude

further review by the district judge[] sua sponte . . . under a de novo or any other standard."

Thomas, 474 U.S. at 154. Indeed, the Advisory Committee Notes to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)

recommend that "[w]hen no timely objection is filed," the court review the magistrate judge's

findings and recommendations for "clear error on the face of the record."

No party having made objections, this Court follows the recommendation of the Advisory

Committee and reviews Judge You's Findings and Recommendation for clear error on the face

of the record. No such error is apparent. Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS Judge You's Findings

and Recommendation, ECF 24. The Court GRANTS Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended

Complaint, ECF 17. This case is dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 13th day of October, 2022.

/s/ Michael H. Simon

Michael H. Simon

United States District Judge

PAGE 2 – ORDER